UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

STACY HUNTER,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-10168

D.C. No. CR-02-00523-9-HG

JUDGMENT

FILED IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF HAWAII

Mar 2 0 2006

at __o'clock and __/min.__Mill
SUE BEITIA, CLERK

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii (Honolulu).

This cause came on to be heard on the Transcript of the Record from the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii (Honolulu) and was duly submitted.

On consideration whereof, it is now here ordered and adjudged by this Court, that the judgment of the said District Court in this cause be, and hereby is **AFFIRMED**.

Filed and entered 02/22/06

A TRUE COPY CATHY A. CATTERSON Clerk of Court. ATTEST

MAR 16 2006

FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FEB 22 2006

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

V.

STACY HUNTER,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-10168

D.C. No. CR-02-00523-9-HG

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Hawaii Helen Gillmor, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 17, 2006**
San Francisco, California

Before: HALL, SILVERMAN, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Defendant Stacy Hunter appeals the district court's denial of her motion to compel Roderick Hunter to provide a DNA sample for the purpose of assisting her

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

United States citizen, in order to avoid possible deportation. Defendant pleaded guilty to one count of conspiracy to distribute and to possess with intent to distribute cocaine base in violation of 21 U.S.C. §§ 846 and 841(a)(1). She does not appeal either her conviction or her sentence.

Defendant argued that the district court was authorized to compel Roderick Hunter to provide his DNA to the Bureau of Immigration and Customs

Enforcement pursuant to the DNA Analysis Backlog Elimination Act of 2000, 42

U.S.C. §§ 14135a–14135e, which requires convicted federal felons to provide

DNA samples as a condition of supervised release and permits disclosure of the samples under certain circumstances. The district court denied the motion, holding that defendant's potential deportation was not an issue before the district court, and that the district court lacked authority to issue such an order.

Defendant's motion is not ripe. No deportation proceedings have been initiated or even threatened. A federal court may not adjudicate a motion where "it rests upon contingent future events that may not occur as anticipated, or indeed may not occur at all." *Texas v. United States*, 523 U.S. 296, 300 (1998) (internal quotation marks omitted). Only if deportation proceedings were *certain* to be initiated would this issue be ripe for decision. *See Chang v. United States*, 327

F.3d 911, 922 (9th Cir. 2003) (noting "firm prediction" rule that claim is ripe where denial of alien's application found to be "certain" despite lack of formal denial by agency).

Finally, we deny defendant's request to remand to the district court so it could issue a writ of *audita querela* in the event a detainer is actually filed against defendant. A federal court may not issue a writ of *audita querela* to vacate a conviction on the solely equitable ground that a convicted alien would be eligible for deportation, absent a legal defect in the conviction. *See Doe v. INS*, 120 F.3d 200, 204-05 (9th Cir. 1997) (holding that "[f]or a court to vacate a final conviction solely because the defendant faces deportation would usurp the power of Congress to set" deportation standards, as there is "no independent source in law that empowers federal courts to vacate convictions to shield defendants from deportation").

AFFIRMED.

A TRUE COPY
CATHY A. CATTERSON
Clerk of Court
ATTEST

MAR 16 2006

3

INTERNAL USE ONLY: Proceedings include all events. 05-10168 USA v. Hunter

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA Plaintiff - Appellee

Loretta A. Sheehan, Esq. FAX 808/541-2958
808/541-2850
Suite 6-100
[COR LD NTC aus]
USH - OFFICE OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY
PJKK Federal Building
300 Ala Moana Blvd.
P.O. Box 50183
Honolulu, HI 96850

v.

STACY HUNTER
Defendant - Appellant

Richard T. Pafundi, Esq.
FAX 808/591-1640
808/591-0250
Suite 404
[COR LD NTC cja]
OFFICE OF RICHARD T. PAFUNDI
Attorney at Law
88 Piikoi Street
Honolulu, HI 96814